ANNUAL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL REPORT

OF

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MIZORAM FOR GROUP 'A' OFFICERS



Name of Officer	:	
(in block letter)		
Report for the year / period ending	ıg	·

PERSONAL DATA

Part-1 (To be filled in by the Concerned Section/Department of the Institute

1	Name of the employee(in block letter)		
2	Date of Birth		
3	Date of continuous appointment to	Date:	Grade:
	the present grade		
4	Present post and date of appointment	Post:	Date:
	thereto		
5	Period of absence from duty (on	Leave at Credit as	Availed during the period under
	training, leave etc) during the year.	on or respective date of	report
		joining	
i)	Earned Leave (EL)		
ii)	Half Pay Leave (HPL)		
iii)	Commuted Leave		
iv)	Extra Ordinary Leave (EOL)		
v)	Casual Leave (CL)		
vi)	Special Casual Leave (SCL) – Other		
	Organization's Work		
vii)	Special Casual Leave (SCL) – For own		
	academic growth		
viii)	Leave Not Due (LND)		
ix)	Leave Without Pay		
	TOTAL		
x)	Nos. of days on which he/she was out		
	of Station for Personal work		
xi)	Nos. of days on which he/she was out		
	of station for Institute		
	work/training.		
	Please specify		
1	I .		

Part-II: To be filled in by the staff reported upon

1.	Brief a	escription of duties:	
2.	you se	specify targets/objectives/goals (in quantitat t for yourself or that were set for you, eight to t of priority and your achievement against each t Plan for your Division)	ten items of work in the
	Sl.	Targets/Objectives/Goals	Achievements
	No		
3.	targets	ease state briefly, the shortfalls with reference to solve to be solved as a second to the start of the same to the start of the targets.	

4.			indicate nts and yo					have	been	signific	antly	higher
5.	pred	ceding o	e wheth calendar y lowing th	year wa	s fil	ed with	in the	prescr	ibed d	ate i.e 3	1 st Jan	nuary of
		d : Y	l'es			Filed : N	-	ed/to b	e filed:			
ı												
	Data	_						C:		C C + - CC		J
	Date	2:						Signa	iture o	f Staff re	porte	u upon

PART-III: Assessment by Reporting Authority and Reviewing Authority

Numerical grading is to be awarded by the reporting and reviewing authority which should be on a scale of 1-10 where 1 refers to the lowest grade and 10 to the highest.

- 1. The columns in the APAR should be filled with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time.
- 2. It is expected that any grading of 1 or 2 (against work output or attributes or overall grade) would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishment. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence, the need to justify them. In awarding a numerical grade the reporting and reviewing authorities should rate the officer against a larger population of his/her peers that may be currently working under them.
- 3. APARs graded between 8 and 10 will be rated as 'outstanding' and will be give a score of 9 for the purpose of calculating average scores for empanelment/promotion.
- 4. APARs graded between 6 and short of 8 will be rated as 'very good' and will be given a score of 7.
- 5. APARs graded between 4 and short of 6 will be rated as 'ggod' and will be given a score of 5.
- 6. APARs graded below 4 will be given a score of zero.

A. Assessment of work output (wieghtage to this section would be 40%)

Sl.	Particular	Reporting Officer	Reviewing Officer
No			
i)	Accomplishment of planned work/ work allotted as per subjects alloted		
ii)	Quality of output		
iii)	Analytical ability		
iv)	Accomplishment of exceptional work/ unforeseen tasks performed		
	Overall Grading on 'Work Output'		

B. Assessment of personal attributes (weightage to this would be 30%)

Sl. No	Particular	Reporting Officer	Reviewing Officer
i)	Attitude to work		
ii)	Sense of responsibility		
iii)	Maintenance of Discipline		
iv)	Communication skills		

v)	Leadership qualities	
vi)	Capacity to work in team spirit	
vii)	Capacity to adhere to time-schedule	
viii)	Inter-personal relations	
ix)	Overall bearing and personality	
	Overall Grading on 'Personal Attributes'	
	Attributes	

C. Assessment of functional competency (weightage to this would be 30%)

Sl.	Particular	Reporting	Reviewing
No		Officer	Officer
i)	Technical knowledge of Rules		
	/procedures in the area of function		
	and ability to apply the correctly		
ii)	Knowledge of Rules/ Regulations		
	/Procedures in the area of function		
iii)	Decision making ability		
iv)	Coordination Ability		
v)	Ability to motivate and develop subordinates		
vi)	Initiative		
	Overall Grading on 'Functional		
	Competency'		

Signature of Reporting Officer

Signature of the Reviewing Officer

PART-IV : GENERAL

1	Relations with the public wherever applicable. (Please comment on the Officer's accessibility to the public and responsiveness to their needs)				
2	Training (Please give recommendations for training with a view to further improving the effectiveness and capabilities of the Officer)				
3	State of health				
4	Integrity (Please comment on the integrity of the Officer)				
5	Pen picture by Reporting Officer (in abqualities of the officer including area of extraordinary achievements, significant and attitude towards weaker sections.	f strengths and lesser strengths,			
6	Overall numerical grading on the				
6	Overall numerical grading on the basis of weightage given in Section A, B and C in Part-3 of the Report				
Place: Signature:					
Date:	Nam	ie in block letter:			
		signation during:			
	the	reporting period			

PART-V: REMARKS OF THE REVIEWING OFFICER

1	Length of service under the Reviewing Officer
2	Do you agree with the assessment made by the Reporting Officer with respect to the work output and the various attributes in Part-3 & Part-4? Do you agree with the assessment of reporting officer in respect of extraordinary
	achievement/significant failures of the Staff reported upon? (Ref: Part 3 (A)(iv) and Part-4 (%)
	(In case you do not agree with any of the numerical assessments of attributes please record your assessment in the column provided for you in that section and initials your entries)
3	In case of disagreement, please specify the reasons. Is there anything you wish to modify or add?
4	Pen picture by Reviewing Officer. Please comment (in about 100 words) on the overall qualities of the staff including area of strengths and lesser strength and his attitude towards weaker sections.

5	Overall numerical grading on the basis of weightage given in Section-A,		
	Section-B and Section-C in Part-III of the report.		
	Signature of the Reviewing Officer		
Place:	Name in block letters:		
Date: _	Designation during the: period of report		